

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/082,001	02/22/2002	Hans-Ulrich Demuth	20784/6	1724
75	590 09/16/2003			
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels, L.L.P. One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			EXAMINER	
			KAM, CHIH MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	
		DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/082,001	DEMUTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Chih-Min Kam	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1 I				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	— · s action is non-final.					
,		resocution as to the morita is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	- p 33 (20	GIMOU IZI.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S. C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10 and 14-22, drawn to a compound of formula B-A-C, wherein A is an amino acid having a functional group in the side chain, B is a chemical compound covalently bound to the functional a group and C is thiazolidine, pyrrolidine, cyanopyrrolidine, hydroxyproline, dehydroproline or piperidine; or a pharmaceutical composition comprising the compound, classified in class 514, subclasses 19 and 183.
 - II. Claims 11-13 and 23-25, drawn to a method for topically influencing the activity of dipeptidyl peptidase IV or analogous enzymes in a subject, or a method for prophylaxis of therapy of diseases of the skin or mucosa, autoimmune diseases, inflammation, psoriasis, allergies, arthritis, or tumors by administering the compound of formula B-A-C or a pharmaceutical or cosmetic composition comprising the compound, classified in class 514, subclass 19, and class 424, subclass 94.63.

Should Invention II be elected, applicant is required to select one disease from claims 12 and 13, or claims 24 and 25. Each disease is patentably distinct because the diagnosis and the treatment of each disease are different, and the treatment of each disease has different outcome. This is not species election.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The product of Invention I and the method of Invention II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Invention II can be practiced with another DP-IV inhibitor, N-substituted 2-cyanopyrrolidine (U. S. Patent 6,166,063).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the recognized divergent subject matter, and because Inventions I-II require different searches but are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,001

Art Unit: 1653

A telephone call was made to John Serio on September 9, 2003 to request an oral election

Page 4

to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (703) 308-9437. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular

communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. CMK

Patent Examiner

September 9, 2003

Christopher S. F. LOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600